(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

 W P O P C T

(19) World Intellectual Property

Organization International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date 22 January 2015 (22.01.2015)

-
-
-
-
-
- **(26) Publication Language:** English ZW.
- 61/846,790 16 July 2013 (16.07.2013) US
- **(71) Applicant: ATTODYNE, INC.** [CA/CA]; 6-1 Westside UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,
- (72) Inventors: KRAEMER, Darren; 6-1 Westside Dr., EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, Toronto, Ontario M9C 1B2 (CA). FORTIN, Tom; 764 Notre Dame Ave., Unit #1, Sudbury, Ontario P3A 2T2 KM, ML, MR, NE,
- **Published: (74) Agent: RIDOUT & MAYBEE LLP;** 5th Floor, ²⁵⁰ Uni versity Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M5H 3E5 (CA). *— with international search report (Art. 21(3))*
- **(81) Designated States** *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available):* AE, AG, AL, AM,

<u> 1 III A BINDI INDIRI INDIRI INDIRI INDIRI INDIRI INDIRI BINDIRI INDIRI BINDIRI INDIRI INDIRI INDIRI INDIRI I</u>

(10) International Publication Number WO 2015/006867 Al

(51) International Patent Classification: AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, *H01S 3/10* (2006.01) *H01S 3/13* (2006.01) BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, **(21) International Application Number:** HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, **(22) International Filing Date:** MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, ¹⁵ July 2014 (15.07.2014) OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, **(25) Filing Language:** English TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM,

(30) Priority Data: (84) Designated States *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available):* ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, Dr., Toronto, Ontario, M9C 1B2 (CA). TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,

(54) Title: LASER CONTROL SYSTEM AND METHOD

LASER CONTROL SYSTEM AND METHOD

Cross-Reference to Related Applications

5 [0001] The present application claims priority from U.S. provisional patent application no. 61/840,790, filed July 16, 2013, the entirety of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

Technical Field

 $10[°]$

[0002] The present application relates to photonics. More particularly, the present application relates to pulsed laser interfacing and the control of asynchronous pulsing of amplified lasers.

15 **Background**

[0003] Pulse to pulse energy stability is important for precision and reproducibility in certain laser-material processing applications. Pulse to pulse stability can be < 1% root mean squared (RMS) in a well designed amplified 2.0 laser system operating under steady state conditions. However, in many practical processing tasks, the laser must be triggered by motion control equipment that is not synchronized. Asynchronous triggering can cause transient conditions in the laser amplifier which disturb the pulse energy stability.

- 25 [0004] Pulse Energy and repetition rate are inversely related in a Master Oscillator Power Amplifier (MOPA) laser close to saturation of the amplifiers. In one method of asynchronous triggering, a MOPA laser system is pulsed at a constant repetition rate and gain level, while an optical modulator is used at the output of the laser system to gate the output pulses according to an external
- trigger However, in at least some applications, this approach may have 30 disadvantages. For example, it limits the timing resolution to an integer factor of the steady state repetition rate, and it requires an optical modulator with a large enough aperture to transmit the laser output without optical damage. For highthroughput laser machining applications, both of these limitations reduce speed
- 35 and increase cost.

 $\mathbf{1}$

[0005] Another method to suppress first pulses has been demonstrated in Q-switch or other pulsed lasers involving limiting the gain. Examples of US patents that relate to the field include US Patent Nos. 8,081,668; 4,337,442 and 7,876,498.

Summary of Example Embodiments

[0006] According to one example, a laser control system and method are provided. In a first aspect, the laser control system comprises a master oscillator $10₁$ laser configured to generate a seed laser pulse train at a first repetition rate, an optical modulator configured to receive the pulse train from the master oscillator laser and modulate the pulse train based on a received modulation signal to generate modulated seed pulses, a laser amplifier configured to amplify the

- 15 modulated seed pulses to generate an amplified pulse sequence output, and a control circuit for controlling the operation of the optical modulator. The control circuit is configured to receive a clock signal synchronized with the seed laser pulse train, receive a trigger input for asynchronous modulation of the seed laser pulse train, generate the modulation signal, and communicate the modulation
- 2.0 signal to the optical modulator. The modulation signal is configured to control the optical modulator to selectively transmit and attenuate seed pulses from the seed laser pulse train to produce modulated seed pulses corresponding to the trigger input and attenuated to maintain a predetermined amplitude envelope in the pulse sequence output.

25

5

[0007] In another aspect, the control circuit generates the modulation signal using an algorithm based on the clock signal and the trigger input.

[0008] In a further aspect, the algorithm is executed on the control circuit.

30

[0009] In a further aspect, the control circuit is further configured to communicate with an external processor, and the algorithm is executed on the processor.

35 [00010] In a further aspect, the laser control system further comprises a sensor monitoring at least one characteristic of the amplified pulse sequence

 $\overline{2}$

output and provid ing feed back to the control circu it, wherei n the algorith m is further based on the feed back from the sensor.

[000 11] In a further aspect, the algorith m self-ca librates based on the read ings from the sensor. 5

[000 12] In a further aspect, the algorith m further com prises a lea rning algorith m for pulse envelope control under arbitra ry triggering .

 $10[°]$ [000 13] In a further aspect, the algorith m determ ines the amou nt of atten uation of the mod ulation signal based on a timer that resets with each pulse in the trigger input.

[000 14] In a further aspect, the predeterm ined amplitude envelope com prises an envelope having a burst energy set point. 15

[000 15] In a further aspect, the predeterm ined amplitude envelope com prises an envelope having a burst amplitude set point.

- 20_o [000 16] In another example, a laser control circu it is provided for controll ing the output of a laser. The control circu it is config ured to receive a clock signal synch ron ized with a seed laser pulse tra in, receive a trigger in put for asynch ronous mod ulation of the seed laser pulse tra in, generate a mod ulation signal for control ling an optica I mod ulator receiving the seed laser pulse tra in to
- 25 selectively tra nsm it and atten uate seed pulses from the seed laser pulse tra in to prod uce mod ulated seed pulses correspond ing to the trigger input and atten uated to mainta in a predeterm ined ampl itude envelope of a pulse seq uence output (230) after being amplified by a laser ampl ifier, and com municate the mod ulation sig nal to the optica l mod ulator.
- 30

35

[000 17] In another example, a method for control ling the output of a laser is provided . The method com prises receivi ng at a control circu it a clock sig nal synch ron ized with a seed laser pulse tra in, receiving at a control circuit a trigger in put for asynch ronous mod ulation of the seed laser pulse tra in, generati ng at a control circu it a mod ulation sig nal for controll ing an optica l mod ulator receiving the seed laser pulse tra in to selectively tra nsm it and atten uate seed pulses from the seed laser pulse tra in to prod uce mod ulated seed pulses correspond ing to

the trigger input and attenuated to maintain a predetermined amplitude envelope of a pulse sequence output after being amplified by a laser amplifier, and communicating the modulation signal to the optical modulator.

[00018] Further aspects and examples will be apparent to a skilled person $5¹$ based on the description and claims.

Brief Description of The Drawings

 $10¹$ [00019] Example embodiments of a laser control system and method will now be described in greater detail with reference to the accompanying drawings of example embodiments in which :

[00020] Fig. 1 is a time-domain diagram showing the operation of a conventional asynchronous pulse picker. 15

[00021] Fig. 2a is a block diagram showing components of a laser control system according to an example embodiment exhibiting first-pulse suppression for on-demand triggering using optical pre-compensation and having an open loop configuration.

[00022] Fig. 2b is a block diagram showing components of a laser control system according to a variant of the embodiment of Fig. 2a, this embodiment having a closed loop configuration.

25

 $20[°]$

[00023] Fig. 3 is a time-domain diagram showing a pre-compensation method to correct laser amplifier gain transients according to an example embodiment.

[00024] Fig. 4 is an oscilloscope trace showing first pulse suppression $30[°]$ according to an example embodiment. The left trace shows the uncorrected pulse train. The right trace shows the corrected pulse train.

[00025] Fig 5. is a simplified circu it diag ram showing an example im plementation of an asynch ronous t iming control circu it accord ing to an example embod iment.

[00026] Fig. 6 is a diagram modeling a three-level laser energy system 5 accord ing to an exa mple embod iment.

Description of Example Embodiments

- [00027] With reference to the drawings, Fig. 1 shows a basic asynchronous $10[°]$ trigger scheme in which the trigger tim ing is not synch ron ized with the master oscillator repetition rate. This resu lts in the tim ing resolution being lim ited to an integer factor of the steady state repetition rate of the pulse tra in. The fiber laser pulse tra in 102 generates pulses at a set repetition rate, such as 30 MHz.
- 15 The trigger input 104, such as a tra nsistor-tra nsistor- log ic (TTL) trigger input, may arrive at freq uencies of 0 to 500 KHz in the illustrated example. The timing circu it output 106 is thus limited to pulses with envelopes 112 centered on pulse tra in 102 pulses occu rring a full interva l after the first pulse tra in pulse followi ng the onset of the trigger input 104, lead ing to a static delay and j itter 110 that
- 2.0 can in some cases be more tha n an interva l long , where an interva l is inversely proportiona l to the repetition rate of the pulse tra in 102. This tim ing circu it output 106 thus generates a fina l acousto-optica I mod ulator output 108 with pulses centered on the tim ing circu it output 106 pulses and delayed from the onset of the trigger input 104 step function .

25

30

35

[00028] Direct mod ulation of the seed laser is an obvious alternative to mod ulation of the output. However, due to the excited state lifeti me of the laser amplifier, prolonged periods without seed pulses lead to hig her gain cond itions for the leading edge of triggered pulse packets. This is known as the high energy "first pulse" effect.

[00029] Exa mples embod iments of the invention relate to a laser control circu it and method for enabling asynch ronous, or 'pulse on dema nd' triggering of a Master Oscil lator Power Amplifier (MOPA) laser system with controlled output pulse energy, by use of optica l mod ulation and atten uation between the master

oscillator (MO) seed pulses and the laser power amplifier (PA) to precom pensate for tra nsient gain effects in the PA in order to ach ieve arbitra ry control of the envelope of the asynch ronously mod ulated output pulse tra in .

- [000 30] In an example embod iment, the pump laser conditions are left $5¹$ consta nt, so as to minimize therma l relaxation effects, and the output of the laser system is mod ulated by controlli ng a fast optica l atten uator between the seed laser and amplifier, with varia ble tra nsm ission to pre-compensate for tra nsient gain in a laser amplifier system .
- $10¹$

[000 31] With reference to the drawings, Fig . 2a shows a block diag ram of a laser control system 200 accord ing to an exa mple embod iment. In the illustrated embod iment, the laser control system 200 com prises a MOPA laser system in which the output pulses-tra in amplitude, duration, freq uency, and phase are

- control led by an electron ic circu it driving an optica l mod ulator. The system uses 15 a master osci llator 202 acting as a pulse laser sou rce which generates a pulse tra in 226 using a seed laser such as a fiber laser. The pulse tra in 226 is incident upon an optica l mod ulator 204 which mod ulates the pulse tra in 226 to generate packets of mod ulated seed pulses 228 . The operation of the optica l mod ulator
- 2.0 204 is driven by a mod ulation sig nal 224 generated by an electron ic control circu it 212, which receives a trigger seq uence input 222 and a clock sig nal input 220 and generates the mod ulation signal 224 based on these inputs. In the illustrated embodiment, the clock signal 220 is generated by the master oscillator 202 based on the repetition rate of the pulse tra in 226. However, other 25 embod iments cou ld drive both the master osci llator 202 and the control circu it 212 using an independent clock sig nal 220.

[000 32] The mod ulated seed pulses 228 generated by the optica l mod ulator 204 are in turn incident on one or more laser amplifiers. In the illustrated embod iment, there is a single laser amplifier 206 com prising a pre-a mplifier 208 30 and a power amplifier 210 and fed by a conti nuous pump laser 214. The mod ulation sig nal 224 generated by the control circu it 212 is sha ped to resu lt in packets of mod ulated seed pulses 228 incid ent on the one or more laser amplifiers 206 so as to prod uce a desired amplified output pulse seq uence 230 of amplified pulse packets with control led amplitude, duration, freq uency and35

phase. In the illustrated embod iment, the output pulse seq uence 230 has a set burst energy point for each burst of pulses : the left burst 230(a) with the lower repetition rate has a hig her pulse amplitude, while the rig ht burst 230(b) with the hig her repetition rate has a lower pulse amplitude, t hus generating two burst with equivalent energy.

 $5¹$

[000 33] In other embod iments, the desired envelope of the output pulse seq uence 230 cou ld be sha ped usi ng other criteria . For exa mple, in one embod iment the envelope of the output pulse sequence 230 would be shaped to have a set predeterm ined flat amplitude rega rdless of other burst cha racteristics, such as repetition rate or duration of the burst. Some embod iments cou ld have the desired envelope cha racteristics preset in the control circu it 212, while others could allow a user to program their own envelope cha racteristics into the system using the control circuit 212 or other processors or com puters attached thereto (as further set out below) .

[000 34] Fu rthermore, some embod iments may use a trigger seq uence input 222 with varia ble amplitude. The envelope of the output 230 may take the trigger input 222 amplitude into accou nt; for example the system may generate an output envelope with an energy set point and/or ampl itude set point dependent on the amplitude of the trigger input 222 .

[000 35] Fig. 2b shows a variant of the system in from Fig. 2a where the trigger input 222 has a varia ble amplitude which influ ences the amplitude of the 25 system output 230. The varia nt embod iment in Fig . 2b also uses the amplifier output 230 to provide feedback to other com ponents in the system . The amplifier output 230 is measu red via a beam splitter 234 using a photo sensor 216, which provides a control sig nal 232 to the control circu it 212 to provide feedback used for self-ca libration, as deta iled further below.

30

35

 $10₁$

15

 $20[°]$

[000 36] The gain experienced by pulses in a laser amplifier with consta nt pumping cond itions depends on the repetition rate of the mod ulated seed pulses 228 . This is due to the lifetime of the excited state popu lation in the laser gain materia l. Seed ing with pulse periods shorter tha n the time req uired for repopu lation of the excited state resu lts in less gain in the amplifier once the amplifier output power is satu rated . Long pauses between bursts or packets of

 $\overline{7}$

pulses can resu lt in hig her gain for the lead ing pulses, red ucing pulse-to-pu lse sta bility and possible optica l damage to the laser amplifier. The present system and method may in some embod iments provide a method of pre-com pensation of laser amplification tra nsient cha racteristics by electron ic controlled

cond itions to ach ieve good envelope control of bursts of laser pulses .

atten uation of the laser amplifier input pulses under steady state pum ping 5

[000 37] In more deta il, referring to the embod iments shown in Fig . 2a and 2b, the master oscillator 202 produces a train of short pulses 226 at a given high repetition rate, $e.g. > 10$ Mhz. The master oscillator 202 also includes a $10₁$ photod iode sensor or other mea ns of generating an electrica l clock sig nal 220 correspond ing to the output pulse tra in 226. The control circu it 212 as shown incl udes a synch ron izing gate circu it sim ila r to the type used to in the context of trigger scheme described in Fig . 1 that selects which pulse or burst of pulses shou ld be tra nsm itted by the optica l mod ulator 204 at a lower repetition rate, 15 e.g. $<$ 10 Mhz. This decision may be determ ined by the externa I trigger seq uence 222, or by a predeterm ined prog ram govern ing the control circu it 212.

[000 38] The fol lowi ng genera l equation descri bes the effect of the laser amplifier 206 on the seed pulses 228 in an example embod iment : $20[°]$

> $I_{out}(t) = G(t) \cdot I_{in}(t)$ $I_{m}(t) =$ «(/)· $I_{M0}(t)$

25

where I_{out} is the laser output power flux (proportiona I to output pulse sequence 230) in units of [W/m \sim 2] and I_{in} is the laser input power flux (seed pulses 228), a function of the I_{α} **»** (*t*) master oscillator power flux (pulse tra in 226) and the mod ulation signal $\alpha(t)$ 224 . G(t) is the gain of the laser amplifier 206.

30

[000 39] In a laser control system according to an example embodiment, G may be a com plicated function , and analytica l description of the com plex com bination of non linea r optica l elements may be difficu lt. However, an exam ple is described herein below to provide a basis for creating a control algorith m for an example laser control system .

WO 2015/006867

[00040] With reference to Fig. 6, the gain calculation can be modeled by the following three-level laser system population rate equation, where N_n is the population of level n. The system has three levels N $\,$ i 624, N $_{2}$ 622, and N $_{3}$ 608.

$$
\frac{d}{dt}N_1 = N_3A_{31} + N_2A_{21} + (N_3 - N_1)B_{31}\rho_p + (N_2 - N_1)B_{21}\rho_L
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}N_2 = N_3A_{32} - N_2A_{21} - (N_2 - N_1)B_{21}\rho_L
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}N_3 = -N_3A_{31} - N_3A_{32} - \{N_3 - N_1\}B_{31}\rho_p
$$

5

 10

 A_{32} 606, A_{31} 604, A_{21} 626, are the rates of sponta neous emission, $\sum_{i=31}^{8}$ 610 and B_{21} 618 are the rates of stim ulated absorption and emission, $P_P = U[I_P(t, \omega)]$ 612 is the energy density of the pump laser, and $_{PL}$ = $U[I_{_{in}}(t, \omega)]$ 614 j_S the energy density of the laser inside the amplifier which is a function of I_{m} .

[00041] In this example the gain of the 3 level laser amplifier is a function of the population inversion Δl V(l) _{suc}h that

15

$$
G(t) = g_0 e^{-\kappa \Delta N(t)}
$$

$$
\Delta N(t) = N_2 - N_1 = \int_0^t \frac{d(N_2(t) - N_1(t))}{dt} dt
$$

20

[00042] The modulation signal $\alpha(t) = A(t) - P(t)$ where $A(t)$ is the time dependa nt atten uation produced by the control circuit algorith m and $P(t)$ is the desired pulse seq uence and pre-specified envelope. The exa mple above il lustrates one possi ble approach for solving (numerica lly or otherwise) for the time dependa nt atten uation req uired from the algorith m used by the control circu it 212.

25

[00043] In one example config uration, the pulse sequence $P(t)$ is defined by the asynch ronous trigger 222 and pre-specified envelope sha pe. In another

example config uration it is entirely specified by the control input of the tim ing circu it 322 as seen in Fig . 2b.

[00044] In a closed loop config uration as shown in Fig. 2b, the error between the pre-specified pulse envelope and the laser output can be expressed 5° as $\Delta E = I_{out}(t)/P(t - D)$ where D is the delay between the input of the control in put of the tim ing circu it and the output of the laser amplifier and the mod ulation signal 224 $\alpha(t) = A(t, AE) - P(t)$

- [00045] Thus, the control circu it 212 in some embodiments includes a $10[°]$ mea ns of com pensati ng for the tra nsient cha nges in the laser amplifier 206 that resu lt from cha nges in the tim ing between mod ulated seed pulses 228 . This precom pensation determ ines the amplitude of the mod ulation sig nal 224 going to the optica l mod ulator 204, which alters the tra nsm itted energy of the selected
- 15 laser pulses .

[00046] Fig. 3 shows an example of this pre-com pensation method for correcting laser amplifier gain tra nsients. The seed laser pulse tra in 226 has a high repetition rate. The asynch ronous trigger in put sequence 222 operates at a sig nifica ntly lower freq uency and exh ibits packets or bursts or steps or pulses 20 322 . Without atten uation or pre-com pensation, the uncorrected mod ulator sig nal 306 prod uced by the control circu it 212 wou ld exhibit pulses 324 having a flat gain . The interva l between pulses in the same packet 320 wou ld be a function of the repetition rate of the trigger seq uence 222 . The interva l between different packets 318 wou ld be sig nifica ntly longer and wou ld also be a function of the 25 trigger seq uence 222 .

[00047] If t his uncorrected mod ulator sig nal 306 were used and tra nsm itted to the optica l mod ulator 204, the amplified output pulse seq uence generated by the amplifier 206 wou ld appea r as an uncorrected laser amplifier output 308 30 having pulses 314 of varia ble gain prod ucing a non-flat envelope 316, and specifica lly pulses wherein gain wou ld decay over the duration of a packet and would be at its maxim um at the beginning of a packet after a long interval 318 for regeneration . This is the "fi rst pulse problem" previously discussed .

35

[00048] In exa mple embod iments of the present system and method, the control circu it instead pre-com pensates for these regeneration and decay effects by generating a corrected mod ulator sig nal 224 (instead of uncorrected sig nal 306) having atten uated gain based on the previous pulse seq uence and its

- effects on decay and regeneration . The pulses 326 of the corrected mod ulator $5¹$ sig nal 224 therefore have varia ble gain and adj usta ble decay 332 depend ing on their position with in a packet, the duration between packets, the repetition rate of the trigger input 222, and potentia lly oth er factors.
- [00049] Using the corrected mod ulator sig nal 224 resu lts in a laser amplifier $10[°]$ output 230 having packets of pulses 328 with a flat envelope 330 (as opposed to signal 308). Pulses that would have experience higher gain than their conti nuously seeded counterpa rts would in such a pre-com pensation regime be atten uated to avoid excess pulse energy after the amplification by the laser amplifier 206.
- 15

[000 50] Fig. 4 illustrates the effect of the pre-com pensation regime on laser amplifier output 230 . The trace shown on the left 402 shows the uncorrected output pulse seq uence 308 of the amplifier 206 resu lting from an uncorrected mod ulator sig na l 306, while the trace on the rig ht 404 shows a corrected

- $20[°]$ amplifier output pulse sequence 230 resulting from a corrected mod ulator signal 224 using pre-com pensation .
- [000 51] Advantages of this system and method of pre-compensation may 25 incl ude, in some embod iments, the ability to trigger the laser system with an externa l pulse seq uence that is neither consistent in terms of repetition rate, nor synch ron ized to the master oscillator, while decoupling the output pulse energy from the externa I trigger tim ing.
- 30 [000 52] Thus, some embod iments may provide a MOPA laser system with an externa I trigger including a control circuit 212 that can be tuned to com pensate for the power amplifier 206 tra nsient response. A specific example embod iment 500 of the control circu it 212 is shown in Fig. 5. The clock signal 220 (in some embod iments generated by a photod iode included in the master 35 oscillator 202) is used as the clock input to a flip-flop circu it 504 which

synch ron izes the trigger input signal 222. The trigger input 222 in some

embod iments first feeds t hroug h a trigger select block 502 which takes as its select input a modified version of the clock signal 220 after it has fed through a Divide by N block 516 and a chopper block 514. The trigger select block 502 switches between the externa l trigger mode and an interna l trigger mode, where the interna l trigger mode uses a trigger signa l generated by the microprocessor 5 unit 518 (described below) having a known phase relationsh ip with the clock sig nal 220 . The flip-flop block 504 generates an output which used by a pulse length adj ustable one shot circu it 506 to in t urn generate an output pulse 532 . The length of the pulse generated by the one shot circu it 506 is genera lly longer $10¹$ tha n optica l pulse duration of the master oscil lator 202 and shorter tha n the time between pulses of the clock signal 220 to act as a gate for ind ividual pulses - for exa mple, they may resem ble the tim ing circu it output envelopes 112 shown in Fig . 1, with the width of envelope 112 dictated by the pulse length of the one shot circu it 506. In some embod iments, the system may operate in a pulse burst mode, where the length of the one shot circu it 506 may be increased 15 to tra nsm it multiple pulses from the master oscillator 202 as a burst of pulses entering the amplifier 206. A phase delay 508 is used in conj unction with a

dig ita l-a nalog-converter (DAC) 512 im plementing the pre-com pensation atten uation (and responsible for creati ng the adj usta ble decay 332 seen in Fig .

- $20[°]$ 3) to alig n the optica l mod ulator sig nal 224 with the pulse tra in from the master oscillator 202. A microprocessor unit (MPU) 518 receives the sync block output sig nal 530 as a cou nter input, receives the asynch ronous trigger input 222 as a further input, and exercises control over the various blocks and com ponents of the control circu it 212, includ ing in some embod iments the Divide by N block
- 25 516, the trigger select block 502, the one shot circu it 506, the delay block 508, and the DAC 512. The DAC 512 control led by the MPU 518 acts as a suppression circu it which adj usts the amplitude of the optica l mod ulator 204 by adj usti ng the amou nt and t ime profile of the suppression .
- [000 53] In some embod iments, the gain calcu lations used in the pre- $30[°]$ com pensation and suppression reg ime are made with in the control circu it 212 ha rdware itself, while in other embod iments the calcu lations are made externa lly, e.g. by a processor 522 or com puter in com munication with the control circu it 212. These calcu lations may take into accou nt various factors in 35 different embod iments, includ ing the position of the present pulse with in a

 $5¹$

PCT/CA2014/050670

packet, the duration between packets, the repetition rate of the trigger input 222, and potentia lly other factors . In one example embod iment, the precom pensation gain atten uation calcu lation is based on the value of a timer that resets after each pulse. Some embod iments may make use of a memory to store and look up past patterns of mod ulation and output, and to base present precom pensation calcu lations on such memory looku ps.

[000 54] In some embod iments, such as the varia nt shown in Fig . 2b, the amplifier output 230 is used to provide feed back to other com ponents in the system. Some embodiments may measure the amplifier output 230 via a beam $10₁$ splitter 234 using a sensor, such as a photo sensor 216 or a power meter, and provide these read ings as a feed back control sig na l 232 to the control circu it 212 or a com puter or processor 522 control ling the control circu it 212. These read ings may allow the com puter to self-ca librate the system . Some

- embod iments using such a measu rement tech niq ue may further include an 15 algorith m implemented by the com puter to lea rn over time and thereby control the pulse envelope under arbitra ry triggering. This algorith m would adjust the availa ble control to ach ieve the pre specified amplitude envelope and seq uence of pulses . In one such embod iment, the algorith m mig ht com pare the two output
- 2.0 traces of Fig . 4 and use the sta nda rd deviation of the corrected trace 404 as a fitness function, calibrating to minimize this value. Another algorith m could com pare the corrected trace 404 to a desired output envelope and tra in the system to minimize this value instead. Any of the number of other fitness functions cou ld be employed to auto-ca librate the system to prod uce output

25 more accu rately adhering to a desired mode of operation .

[000 55] In some embod iments, the optica l mod ulator 204 cou ld be im plemented as two or more optica l mod ulators operating in conj unction, either in parallel or in seq uence, to prod uce the mod ulator output 228 from one or more pulse tra in inputs 226 .

30

35

[000 56] In some embod iments, the control circuit 212 could be im plemented as a genera l purpose computer or processor, such as a genera l purpose com puter having specia lized hardwa re for hig h-speed acoustic processing .

[000 57] While the described embod iments have shown the feedback signal from the photo sensor 216 as a single control signal 232, such as a sensor read ing of output amplitude, some embod iments may use one or more sensors or other components to provide a plu rality of control sig nals 232 used to tra in or auto-ca librate the pre-compensation algorith m used by the control circu it 212.

[000 58] The present disclosu re may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from the full scope of the claims as read in light of the specification as a whole, and would be understood by a person of skill in the art

 $10¹$

5

to encom pass various sub-combi nations and varia nts of descri bed featu res. The described embod iments are to be considered in all respects as being only illustrative and not restrictive. The present disclosu re intends to cover and embrace all suita ble cha nges in tech nology.

Claims

What is claimed is:

1. A laser control system comprising: $5¹$

> a master oscillator laser configured to generate a seed laser pulse train at a first repetition rate;

 $10¹$ an optical modulator configured to receive the pulse train from the master oscillator laser and modulate the pulse train based on a received modulation signal to generate modulated seed pulses;

a laser amplifier configured to amplify the modulated seed pulses (228) to generate an amplified pulse sequence output; and 15

a control circuit for controlling the operation of the optical modulator configured to:

 $20[°]$ receive a clock signal synchronized with the seed laser pulse train;

> receive a trigger input for asynchronous modulation of the seed laser pulse train;

25 generate the modulation signal ,and communicate the modulation signal to the optical modulator, wherein the modulation signal (224) is configured to control the optical modulator (204) to selectively transmit and attenuate seed pulses from the seed laser pulse train (226) to produce modulated seed pulses (228) corresponding to the trigger input (222) and 30 attenuated to maintain a predetermined amplitude envelope in the pulse sequence output (230).

 $10¹$

15

35

PCT/CA2014/050670

2. The laser control system of Cla im 1, wherein the control circu it generates the mod ulation signal using an algorith m based on the clock signal and the trigger in put.

3. The laser control system of Cla im 2, wherein the algorith m is executed on the $5¹$ control circu it.

4. The laser control system of Cla im 2, wherein the control circu it is further config ured to comm unicate with an externa l processor, and wherein the algorith m is executed on the processor.

5. The laser control system of Cla im 2, further com prising a sensor mon itoring at least one cha racteristic of the amplified pulse seq uence output and provid ing feedback to the control circu it, wherei n the algorith m is further based on the feedback from the sensor.

6. The laser control system of Cla im 5, wherein the algorith m self-ca librates based on the read ings from the sensor.

 $20[°]$ 7. The laser control system of Cla im 2, wherein the algorith m further com prises a lea rning algorith m for pulse envelope control under arbitrary triggering .

8. The laser control system of Cla im 2, wherein the algorith m determ ines the amount of atten uation of the modulation signal based on a timer that resets with 25 each pulse in the trigger input.

9. The laser control system of Cla im 1, wherein the predeterm ined amplitude envelope com prises an envelope having a burst energy set point.

10. The laser control system of Cla im 1, wherein the predeterm ined amplitude $30[°]$ envelope com prises an envelope having a burst amplitude set point.

11. A laser control circu it for controlli ng the output of a laser, config ured to:

receive a clock signal synch ron ized with a seed laser pulse train:

receive a trigger input for asynch ronous mod ulation of the seed laser pulse tra in ;and

generate a mod ulation sig na l for control ling an optica l mod ulator 5 receiving the seed laser pulse tra in to selectively tra nsm it and atten uate seed pulses from the seed laser pulse tra in to prod uce mod ulated seed pulses correspond ing to the trigger input and atten uated to mainta in a predeterm ined amplitude envelope of a $10¹$ pulse seq uence output after being amplified by a laser amplifier.

12. The laser control circu it of Cla im 11, wherein the control circu it generates the mod ulation sig nal using an algorith m based on the clock sig nal and the trigger input.

13. The laser control circu it of Cla im 12, wherein the algorith m is executed on the control circu it.

14. The laser control circu it of Cla im 12, wherein the control circu it is further $20[°]$ config ured to comm unicate with an externa l processor, and wherein the algorith m is executed on the processor.

15. The laser control circu it of Cla im 12, further config ured to receive feed back from a sensor mon itoring at least one cha racteristic of the amplified pulse 25 seq uence output, wherein the algorith m is further based on the feed back from the sensor.

16. The laser control circu it of Cla im 15, wherein the algorith m self-ca librates based on the read ings from the sensor.

30

15

17. The laser control circu it of Cla im 12, wherein the algorith m further com prises a lea rning algorith m for pulse envelope control under arbitrary triggering .

18. The laser control circu it of Cla im 12, wherein the algorith m determ ines the amount of atten uation of the modulation signal based on a timer that resets with each pulse in the trigger input.

19 . The laser control circu it of Cla im 11, wherein the predeterm ined amplitude $5¹$ envelope com prises an envelope having a burst energy set point.

20. The laser control circu it of Cla im 11, wherein the predeterm ined amplitude envelope com prises an envelope having a burst amplitude set point.

 10

21. A method for controlli ng the output of a laser, com prising :

receiving at a control circu it a clock sig nal synch ron ized with a seed laser pulse tra in;

15

receiving at a control circu it a trigger input for asynchronous mod ulation of the seed laser pulse tra in ; and

generating at a control circu it a mod ulation sig nal for controlling an 20 optica l mod ulator receiving the seed laser pulse tra in to selectively tra nsm it and atten uate seed pulses from the seed laser pulse tra in to prod uce mod ulated seed pulses correspond ing to the trigger input and atten uated to mainta in a predeterm ined amplitude envelope of a pulse sequence output after being amplified by a 25 laser amplifier.

22. The method of Cla im 21, wherein the control circu it generates the mod ulation signal using an algorith m based on the clock signal and the trigger in put.

 $30[°]$

23. The method of Claim 22, wherein the algorith m is executed on the control circu it.

35 24. The method of Claim 22, further comprising:

WO 2015/006867

PCT/CA2014/050670

com municati ng the clock sig nal and the trigger input from the control circu it to an externa l processor;

5 executing the algorith m on the processor to determ ine admitta nce and atten uation data for the mod ulation sig nal; and

com municati ng the adm itta nce and atten uation data from the processor to the control circu it.

 10

25. The method of Cla im 22, further com prising receiving feedback from a sensor mon itori ng at least one characteristic of the amplified pulse seq uence output, and wherein the algorith m is further based on the feedback from the sensor.

15

26. The method of Cla im 25, wherein the algorith m self-ca librates based on the read ings from the sensor.

27. The method of Cla im 22, wherein the algorith m further com prises a lea rning 20 algorith m for pulse envelope control under arbitra ry triggering .

28. The method of Cla im 22, wherein the algorith m determ ines the amou nt of atten uation of the mod ulation signal based on a timer that resets with each pulse in the trigger input.

25

29 . The method of Cla im 21, wherein the predeterm ined amplitude envelope com prises an envelope having a burst energy set point.

30. The method of Cla im 21, wherein the predeterm ined amplitude envelope 30 com prises an envelope having a burst amplitude set point.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2a

Fig. 2b

 $4/7$

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT International application No.

PCT/CA2014/050670

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC: *HOIS 3/10* (2006.01) , *HOIS 3/13* (2006.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) H01S 3/10 (2006.01) , H01S 3/13 (2006.01)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic database(s) consulted during the international search (name of database(s) and, where practicable, search terms used)

Databases: QUESTAL ORBIT (FAMPAT); Google Scholar.

Keywords: Laser control, master oscillator, seed pulses, (optical) modulat+, amplifier, asynchronous trigger+/ pulsing, pulse energy/ stability, "first pulse" high energy effect/ problem, modulat+ seed pulse?, pre_distortion / pre_compensation, feedback.

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 2009) Page 2 of 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members
 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{Informational application No.} & \text{Intermational application No.}\n\hline\n\end{array}$

Information on patent family members **PCT/CA2014/050670**

